Hash tables
Motivation: Need to insert \& search keys in constant time Direct addressing: Store key $k$ in $A[k]$ (Assuming all keys are integers, but that' ok)


Problem: Range of keys very large even if actual number of keys $n$ is much smaller! (similar problem to counting sort $t$ )

Idea: Use a table with only $m$ entries $0,1,2, \ldots, m-1$.

- Map Key $k$ to $h(k) \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$
- $h$ is a hash function.

Problem: Keys Can hash into the same slot
(pigeon hole principle, not too many slots)
Typical Solution: (but other solutions also exist)
use chaining
Each slot has a
 linked list of keys that hash to it.

Insert: Always at head of list $\Rightarrow \theta$ (1) time.

What about search?

Analysis of search time.
Assume Simple Uniform Hashing

- Every key hashes into any slot with equal probability $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}$
- Keys hash independently!

This is a strong assumption:

- Hard to guarantee, but
- Several common techniques work well in practice
- Can be relaxed.

Let

$$
x_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { itu key hashes to } j^{\text {th }} \text { slot } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

What does Simple Uniform Hashing tell us?

- $P\left(X_{i j}=1\right)=\frac{1}{m} \quad$ (any slot for th key is equally likely)
- Moreover , if we know $h(k)=j$ then

$$
P\left(x_{i j}=1\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { still } \frac{1}{m} \quad k e y(i) \neq k \text { (independence) } \\
1 \quad k_{e y}(i)=k
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $n_{j}=$ length of list $j=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i j}$

Unsuccessful seach: If $h(k)=j$

$$
O\left(1+E\left[n_{j}\right]\right)
$$

compute $h$ go through entire list

$$
E\left[n_{j}\right]=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[x_{i j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m}=\frac{n}{m}
$$

(all keys are $\neq k$ )
So an vusuccessful search costs $O(1+\alpha)$ where $\alpha=\frac{n}{m}$ [loading factor] if $n=O(m)$, then this is $O(1)$.
successful search: If $h(k)=j$
now $E\left[n_{j}\right]=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[x_{i j}\right]=1+\sum_{k_{\text {ep }}(i) \neq k} \frac{1}{m}$

$$
=1+\frac{n-1}{m}<1+\alpha \quad \text { (one key is } k \text { ) }
$$

So a successful search take $O(1+1+\alpha)=O(1+\alpha)$ time

Note: The successful search does not need to go through the entire list, but only until it locates $K$. The book assumes that every element is equally likely to be the one searched for and finds $1+\frac{n-1}{2 m}$ instead of $1+\frac{n-1}{m}$. Which can be heuristically explained as going through half of the other keys in the list before finding $k$.

Relaxing the Simple Uniform Hashing condition.
weaker condition: (Called Universal Hashing)

$$
\forall k, l: P(h(k)=h(l)) \leqslant \frac{1}{m}
$$

To redo the analysis:
$P\left(x_{i j}=1\right)=$ ? (don't know without specific context) and knowing that $h(k)=j$ :

$$
P\left(x_{i j}=1\right)= \begin{cases}P\left(h(k)=h\left(\operatorname{keg}_{\mathrm{g}}(i) \leqslant \frac{1}{m}\right.\right. & \operatorname{keg}(i) \neq k \\ 1 & \operatorname{key}(i)=k\end{cases}
$$

So same bounds can be derived.
[we will see a method to guarantee this condition]

Practical Hash functions
Division method: $h(k)=k \bmod u m$ [remainder in div. by $m$ ]
Deficiency: If $m$ has a divisor $d$, then keys congruent modulo $d$ utilize only $\frac{d}{m}$ slots.
So choose $m$ prime?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E X: & 21 \equiv 0 \\
\begin{cases}E=21 & 28 \equiv 7 \\
d=7 & 35 \equiv 14 \\
& 42 \equiv 0\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

Another: If strings are numbers in base $2^{p}$, ! then if $m=2^{p}-1$, any permutation of the characters result in the same haoh e.g: "shad" and $m=127$

Typical solution:
Ascii: $\frac{115 \times 128^{3}}{s}+\frac{97}{a} \times 128^{2}+\frac{97}{a} \times 128+\frac{100}{d}(\bmod 127)$ $=28$
choose $m$ prime not close to a power of 2

Multiplication method:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& h(k)=L m(k A-L k A J)\rfloor \quad \\
\text { Ex: } & A=\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=0.618 \text { (golden ratio) }
\end{array}
$$

Implementation using w-bit word computer

- let $m=2^{r}$ and $2^{w-1}<A^{\prime}<2^{w}$
- Consider $\frac{k A^{\prime}}{2^{w}} \quad\left(A=\frac{A^{\prime}}{2^{w}}\right)$
 $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { see an example } \\ \text { in book } \\ \text { end of Sec } 11.3 .2\end{array}\right.$
 $A^{\prime}$
$2 w$ bits

Universal Hushing
Consider $\mathcal{H}$ a finite set of hash functions. It's called universal iff:

$$
\forall k_{1} l \cdot|\{h \in \mathcal{H}: h(k)=h(l)\}| \leqslant \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{m}
$$

we pick $h$ uniformly at random from $\mathcal{H}$.
How to construct $\mathcal{H}$ ? Many methods exist. We will look at one that is easy to analyze. (the book presents a different one)

Assume key has $r$ parts (treated as integers)


$$
k=\left\langle k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r-1}\right\rangle \quad 0 \leqslant k_{i}<m
$$

and $m$ is prime.
Pick $a=\left\langle a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r_{-1}}\right\rangle$ where each $a_{i}$ is chosen uniformly at random from $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$
Then let:

$$
h_{a}(k)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i} k_{i}(\bmod m) \quad|\mathcal{H}|=m^{r}
$$

Given $x \neq y$ :

$$
h(x)=h(y) \Rightarrow \sum a_{i} x_{i} \equiv \sum a_{i} y_{i}(\bmod m)
$$

Assume $x_{0}>y_{0}$, then

$$
a_{0}\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} x_{i}(\bmod m)
$$

Number theory: $m$ prime $\Rightarrow$ any integer $0<z<m$ has a multiplicative in verse $z Z^{-1} \equiv 1((\bmod m)$

So we can solve for $a_{0}$. [multiply both sides by $\left.\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right)^{-1}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i} x_{i} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i} \quad(\bmod m) \\
& \frac{a_{0} x_{0}}{\underline{r-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} x_{i} \equiv a_{0} y_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i} \quad(\bmod m) \\
& a_{0}\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i} \quad(\bmod m) \\
& a_{0} \equiv\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{i} y_{i}\right)\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right)^{-1}(\bmod m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example: Multiplicative inverses when $m=7$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
z & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
z^{-1} & 1 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 6
\end{array} \quad z z^{-1} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)
$$

For every $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right\rangle$ there is only one $a_{0}$ that mates $h(x)=h(y)$. So there are $\mathrm{m}^{r-1}$ functions out of $m^{r}$ functions that make $h(x)=h(y)$. Therefore

$$
\forall x, y,|\{h \in \mathcal{H}: \quad h(x)=h(y)\}|=m^{r-1}=\frac{m^{r}}{m}=\frac{|\mathcal{X}|}{m}
$$

