









Reversals and $c(\alpha)$

Let ρ be a reversal defined by two reality edges e and f, then:

- If *e* and *f* belong to different cycles, $c(\alpha \rho) = c(\alpha) 1$
- If *e* and *f* belong to the same cycle and converge, $c(\alpha \rho) = c(\alpha)$
- If *e* and *f* belong to the same cycle and diverge, $c(\alpha \rho) = c(\alpha) + 1$



Better lower bound

- The lower bound $n + 1 c(\alpha)$ is better than $b(\alpha)/2$.
- For most signed permutations, it comes very close to the actual reversal distance.
- It does not always work. We cannot always choose two divergent edges (doing so will increase the number of cycles by 1 each time and achieve the exact bound).
- To understand why, we need to define some concepts.

Good / Bad cycles

The easy stuff first....

- A cycle is **good** iff it has two reality edges that diverge
- A cycle is **bad** iff all its reality edges converge
- A cycle is **proper** iff is contains more than two edges (we will only look at these).

Interleaving

• Assume the special way of drawing *RD*(α)

 either the counterclockwise circle where reality edges are on the circumference and desire edges are chords

- or reality edges are on the line and desire edges on top
- Two cycles interleave iff a desire edge of one crosses a desire edge of the other
- In general we can define this using intervals without assuming a special way of drawing $RD(\alpha)$





























Approach We will achieve the lower bound n + 1 - c(α) + h(α) for a non-fortress permutation α Each time we will find a reversal ρ such that

- $c(\alpha p) h(\alpha p) = c(\alpha) h(\alpha) + 1$
- Call such a reversal a safe reversal
- $c(\alpha) h(\alpha)$ can be at most n+1 (when $\alpha = \beta$); therefore, we will perform only n+1 - $c(\alpha) + h(\alpha)$ reversals

Safe reversal Kind I

- A reversal ρ defined by two divergent reality edges of a good cycle that does not lead to the creation of bad components
- Safe: increases *c* by 1, keeps *h* unchanged; therefore, $c(\alpha \rho) - h(\alpha \rho) = c(\alpha) - h(\alpha) + 1$
- Fact: if we have a good component, then there exists a safe reversal of kind I (we are not going to prove this)

Safe reversal Kind II (hurdle merging)

- Define two opposite hurdles *A* and *B* such that the number of hurdles between *A* and *B* is the same on either sides of the circle.
- A reversal ρ defined by two reality edges of two opposite hurdles (i.e. the number of hurdles must be even)
- ρ destroys two hurdles which become a good component with any non-hurdle that separates them.
- ρ does not create new hurdles.
- Safe: decreases c by 1, decreases h by 2; therefore, $c(\alpha \rho) h(\alpha \rho) = c(\alpha) h(\alpha) + 1$

Saad Mneimneh





Safe reversal Kind III (hurdle cutting)

- A reversal ρ defined by two convergent reality edges of a bad cycle in a simple hurdle when number of hurdles is odd.
- ρ destroys the hurdle (makes it good component), and does not create new hurdles (otherwise it is a super hurdle), and results in even number of hurdles.
- Safe: keeps *c* unchanged, decreases *h* by 1; therefore, $c(\alpha p) - h(\alpha p) = c(\alpha) - h(\alpha) + 1$

Non-fortress

- If $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is not a fortress, then we can always find a safe reversal
- Proof:
 - $-\,$ If there is a good component, then there is a kind I safe reversal.
 - If there are no good components and the number of hurdles is even, then there is a Kind II safe reversal (hurdle merging)
 - If there are no good components and the number of hurdles is odd, then there is a simple hurdle (otherwise α is a fortress), and there is a Kind III safe reversal (hurdle cutting). After that point the number of hurdles is always even.

Saad Mneimneh

Algorithm

given distinct α and β

repeat

if there is a good component in $RD_{\beta}(\alpha)$ then pick a Kind I reversal

else if $h(\alpha)$ is even then pick a Kind II reversal (merging two opposite hurdles)

else if $h(\alpha)$ is odd then pick a Kind III reversal (cutting simple hurdle)

until $\alpha = \beta$



What if α is a fortress

• Then we have an odd number of hurdles non of which is simple

- We cannot cut a super hurdle since this will create a new hurdle
- We can merge two super hurdles (not opposite), but there is a danger of creating a new hurdle!
- FACT: merging two super hurdles in a fortress with h ≠ 3 super hurdles results in a fortress with h – 2 super hurdles.
- Therefore, we create a new hurdle only when we have a forest with 3 super hurdles resulting is a distance of $n + 1 c(\alpha) + h(\alpha) + 1$ for a fortress permutation.
- This is optimal for a fortress because any fortress has to have one unsafe reversal, but we will not prove it here.

Algorithm

given distinct α and β

repeat

if there is a good component in $RD_{\beta}(\alpha)$ then pick a Kind I reversal

else if $h(\alpha)$ is even then pick a Kind II reversal (merging two opposite hurdles)

else if $h(\alpha)$ is odd and there is a simple hurdle then pick a Kind III reversal (cutting simple hurdle)

else //fortress

merge any two hurdles (this will result in a fortress if $h(\alpha) \neq 3$)

until $\alpha = \beta$



Saad Mr

Running time (initialization of structure)

- Constructing *RD*(α) takes *O*(*n*) time (determine reality and desire edges)
- Finding cycles can be done in O(n) time
- For each cycle we have to determine whether it is good or bad, this takes O(n) time for each cycle for a total of $O(n^2)$ time
- Interleaving of cycles can be done in $O(n^2)$ time by examining every pair of desire edges
- Determining good and bad components, non-hurdles, simple hurdles, and super hurdles can be done in *O*(*n*) time
- So far O(n²) time



Running time (cont.)

- The most time consuming part is identifying whether a safe reversal of Kind I exists
- Since a reversal is defined by two edges, we have ${\cal O}(n^2)$ reversals to try
- For each reversal we have to see whether a bad component will be created (this can be done in O(n²) time as discussed above by computing RD(αρ))
- Therefore, we spend $O(n^4)$ time
- This is performed at most *n* times yielding an O(n⁵) time algorithm