

Logic & Proofs

- Recall how we "prove" that a function is one-to-one:

proof: $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$

- What is the "logic" behind such proof, especially this was NOT how we defined one-to-one!

 : How did we define one-to-one?

$$x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$$

Compare to:

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$$

- These two are logically equivalent.

Do you see the difference?

$$A \Rightarrow B$$

$$\text{not } B \Rightarrow \text{not } A$$

A real life example

My principle in life:

"If it's raining, then I carry an umbrella"

Rain \Rightarrow Umbrella (rain implies umbrella)

True statement

<u>Rain</u>	<u>Umbrella</u>	<u>Umbrella</u>	<u>Rain</u>
True	? True	True	? X
False	? X	False	? False

So, not Umbrella \Rightarrow not Rain

To understand this better, we need to talk about propositions

Proposition

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false.

A proof establishes the truth value of propositions.

Example Propositions

• For every non-negative integer n , $n^2 + n + 41$ is prime

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \underline{n^2 + n + 41 \text{ is prime}}$$

↑ ↖ ↖
Quantifier variable predicate

• There exists a positive integer that is not the product of primes

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg (n \text{ is a product of primes})$$

↑
NOT

. For every number x , if $x \geq 2$ then $x^2 \geq 4$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (x \geq 2 \Rightarrow x^2 \geq 4)$$

. If $a \cdot b$ is irrational, then a is irrational or b is irrational

$$a \cdot b \notin \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow (a \notin \mathbb{Q} \vee b \notin \mathbb{Q})$$

. Let P and Q be propositions. Then the following are propositions

$$P \Rightarrow Q \quad (P \text{ implies } Q)$$

$$P \vee Q \quad (P \text{ or } Q)$$

$$P \wedge Q \quad (P \text{ and } Q)$$

$$\neg P \quad (\text{not } P)$$

$\forall x, P(x)$: True if $P(x)$ is true for all x

$\exists x, P(x)$: True if $P(x)$ is true for some x

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, n^2 + n + 41$ is prime.

Let's see if above is true.

$$n=0 \quad . \quad 0^2 + 0 + 41 = 41 \quad \checkmark$$

$$n=1 \quad . \quad 1^2 + 1 + 41 = 43 \quad \checkmark$$

$$n=2 \quad . \quad 2^2 + 2 + 41 = 47 \quad \checkmark$$

$$n=3 \quad . \quad 3^2 + 3 + 41 = 53 \quad \checkmark$$

⋮

$$n=39 \quad . \quad 39^2 + 39 + 41 = 1601 \quad \checkmark$$

$$n=40 \quad . \quad 40^2 + 40 + 41 = 1681 = 41^2$$

Lesson: No proof by example.

- You don't prove something is always true by giving examples unless you cover all examples.
- But you can prove $\forall x, P(x)$ is false by a counter example.
- You can also prove $\exists x, P(x)$ is true by an example.

But how do \neg , \wedge , \vee , \Rightarrow behave?

We can define each by a Truth Table.

Truth Table: For every possible truth values for P and Q, list the truth value of $\neg P$, $P \wedge Q$, $P \vee Q$, $P \Rightarrow Q$

	P	$\neg P$
"false"	0	1
"true"	1	0

Not

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

AND

"all"

P	Q	$P \vee Q$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

OR

"at least one"

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	1	1

Implication

"If - then"

?

Why are the following true?

$$0 \Rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \Rightarrow 1$$

Consider: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (x > 5 \Rightarrow x^2 > 16)$

$$\underbrace{x > 5} \Rightarrow \underbrace{x^2 > 16}$$

$$x = 4: \quad 0 \Rightarrow 0$$

$$x = 5: \quad 0 \Rightarrow 1$$

But the statement
is true for all x !

Important observation

If $(P \Rightarrow Q)$ is true, this does not say anything about the truth of P or that of Q

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	1	1

Both P and Q can be either true or false

Implication

Other ways of saying $P \Rightarrow Q$

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \vee Q$	$\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$	$P \wedge \neg Q$
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0

└────────── contrapositive ─────────┘

Conclusion: $(P \Rightarrow Q) = (\neg P \vee Q) = (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P)$

└────────── contrapositive ─────────┘

$$\neg (P \Rightarrow Q) = P \wedge \neg Q$$