

What's wrong with this:

$$\text{Prove } \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n^2 + n + \sqrt{\pi}}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$P(k): \sum_{i=1}^k i = \frac{k^2 + k + \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \quad (\text{Inductive hypothesis})$$

$$P(k+1): \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i = \frac{(k+1)^2 + (k+1) + \sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i = \sum_{i=1}^k i + (k+1) = \frac{k^2 + k + \sqrt{\pi}}{2} + \frac{2(k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)^2 + (k+1) + \sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

???

;

$\forall n \geq 2$ .  $n$  lines no two of which are // intersect in one point.

Base case:  $n_0=2$ .  $P(2)$ : 2 lines not // intersect in one point  $\checkmark$

Inductive step:  $\forall k \geq 2$ .  $P(k) \implies P(k+1)$

Given  $k+1$  lines  $l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots, l_k, l_{k+1}$  no two of which are // ,

Consider the two sets of lines

- $l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots, l_{k-1}, l_{k+1}$  (no two are //)
- $l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots, l_{k-1}, l_k$  (no two are //)

Each set has  $k$  lines  $\implies$  all lines in each set intersect in one point, namely the intersection of  $l_1$  &  $l_2$ .

Therefore all  $k+1$  lines go through that point !!!

What was wrong?

Proof does not work for  $K=2$  try

$l_1, l_2, l_3$

drop one line

$l_1, l_2$        $l_1, l_3$

$l_2$  is not here!

Here's another of those:

For every  $n \geq 12$ ,  $n = 3x + 7y$  where  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ .


Base case:  $n_0 = 12$ .  $P(12)$ :  $12 = 3 \times 4 + 7 \times 0$  ✓

Inductive step:  $\forall k \geq 12$ .  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

$$P(k): k = 3x + 7y, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$P(k+1): k+1 = 3x' + 7y', \quad x', y' \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k+1 &= 3x + 7y + 1 = 3x + 7y + \underbrace{7 - 2 \times 3} \\ &= 3(x-2) + 7(y+1) \end{aligned}$$

  $\in \mathbb{N}$ ?

# Strong Induction

Base case      Prove  $P(k)$  true for  $k \leq n_0$

Inductive Step:       $\forall k \geq n_0. \underbrace{\bigwedge_{i \leq k} P(i)}_{\text{Inductive hypo.}} \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

In other words, assume the property is true up to  $k$ , then prove it's also true for  $k+1$ .

- • Note: It's typical that we won't need all statements up to  $P_k$  to be true, but only some of them.

The notation  $\bigwedge_{i \leq k} P(i)$  means  $P(k) \wedge P(k-1) \wedge P(k-2) \wedge \dots$

Example 1: For every  $n \geq 12$ ,  $n = 3x + 7y$  where  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$

Base case:  $P(12) : 12 = 3(4) + 7(0) \checkmark$

$P(13) : 13 = 3(2) + 7(1) \checkmark$

$P(14) : 14 = 3(0) + 7(2) \checkmark$

Inductive hyp.  $\bigwedge_{12 \leq i \leq k} P(i) : i = 3x + 7y$  for all  $12 \leq i \leq k$

Inductive step:  $\forall k \geq n_0, \bigwedge_{12 \leq i \leq k} P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$ .  $P(k+1) : k+1 = 3x + 7y$

$$\begin{aligned} k+1 &= (k+1) - 3 + 3 = \underbrace{k-2}_{\geq 12} + 3 \\ &= 3x' + 7y' + 3 \\ &= 3(x'+1) + 7y' \\ &= 3x + 7y \end{aligned}$$

➤ Proof works when  $k-2 \geq 12 \Rightarrow k \geq 14$ . So  $n_0 = 14$ .

Example 2. Every  $n \geq 1$  can be written as  $n = m \cdot 2^i$

where  $m$  is odd,  $i \geq 0$

Base case:  $1 = 1 \cdot 2^0$  ✓

Inductive hyp.  $\bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq k} P(j) : j = m \cdot 2^i \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$

Inductive step:  $\forall k \geq n_0, \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k} P(i) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$ .  $P(k+1) : k+1 = m \cdot 2^i$

$$k+1 \text{ odd} : k+1 = (k+1) \cdot 2^0$$

$$k+1 \text{ even} \Rightarrow k+1 = 2j \quad \text{where } j \leq k \quad ?$$

so  $P(j)$  is true and  $j = m \cdot 2^l$

$$\text{Therefore, } k+1 = 2 [m \cdot 2^l] = m \cdot 2^{l+1} = m \cdot 2^i.$$

Proof works as long as  $\begin{cases} j \leq k \Rightarrow \frac{k+1}{2} \leq k \Rightarrow k+1 \leq 2k \Rightarrow k \geq 1. \\ j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \frac{k+1}{2} \geq 1 \Rightarrow k \geq 1. \end{cases}$

So  $n_0 = 1$ .