

Problem 1

- (a) $J = \{w \mid w = 0x \text{ for some } x \in A_{TM} \text{ or } w = 1y \text{ for some } y \in \bar{A}_{TM}\}$

Here's a reduction from \bar{A}_{TM} to J .

Given $\langle M, w \rangle \in \bar{A}_{TM}$ let $f(\langle M, w \rangle) = 1 \langle M, w \rangle$.

Clearly $\langle M, w \rangle \in \bar{A}_{TM} \iff f(\langle M, w \rangle) \in J$.

We can also reduce \bar{A}_{TM} to \bar{J} . Here, given

$\langle M, w \rangle \in \bar{A}_{TM}$, let $g(\langle M, w \rangle) = 0 \langle M, w \rangle$. Again

$\langle M, w \rangle \in \bar{A}_{TM} \iff g(\langle M, w \rangle) \in \bar{J}$.

Therefore, neither J nor \bar{J} is Turing recognizable.

- (b) Give an example of an undecidable language B such that $B \leq_m \bar{B}$.

Obviously neither B nor \bar{B} can be Turing recognizable because otherwise both will be and, therefore, B will be decidable. The example of J above works.

Given $w = 0x \in J$, let $f(w) = 1x \notin J$
also $w = 1y \in J$, let $f(y) = 0y \notin J$.

So $J \leq_m \bar{J}$.

Problem 2

Testing for useless state:

IN NFA.

For every $q \in Q$, make q an accept state, and all other states non-accept states. Then check if the NFA has an empty language. If it does, this means q is never reached on any input.

IN PDA

Same as above, and in addition, when q is entered, empty the stack. Then check if PDA has an empty language.

IN Turing machines

This is undecidable. Given Turing machine M and input w , construct the following machine D .

$D =$ "on any input x :

1. Run M on w
2. If M accepts, enter a special state q_{special} ; otherwise if M rejects, halt. "

Transitions from q_{special} can be anything. Now M accepts $w \iff D$ enters q_{special} .

So q_{special} is useless if M does not accept w .
Being able to test for that can decide ATM.

Problem 3

To test whether a Turing machine M on input w ever attempts to move its head left when it's on the left-most tape cell is undecidable.

$D =$ "on input $\langle M, w \rangle$:

1. Mark the left-most tape cell using a special symbol $\#$
2. Simulate M on w using the rest of the tape, and whenever $\#$ is reached (it means M moved beyond its tape) move right.
3. If M accepts w , move left until $\#$ is reached, and then attempt to move left \rightarrow

D attempts to move beyond the left-most cell if and only if M accepts w . So we can decide ATM.

The other problem is decidable because if you run M on w for a long enough time and it makes no left moves, then ~~it~~ it will never ~~move~~ move left. long enough can be upper bounded by $|w| + |Q|$ which is enough to traverse the input and then keep moving right.

Problem 4

Show that PCP is decidable when $\Sigma = \{1\}$.

What's relevant is the length of the strings on top and bottom of a domino. Given a domino, let the value of the domino be defined as the length of top string minus the length of bottom string. Then there is a match iff

1. There exists a domino with value 0
- or 2. There exist two dominoes, one with positive value and one with negative value.

This can be decided easily. Now we prove it.

\Rightarrow If there is a match, and no domino has value 0, then they can't be all positive or all negative.

\Leftarrow If ~~either (1) or (2)~~ (1) is satisfied, that domino gives the match.

If (2) is satisfied, then let the positive and negative values be a and b . Then repeat the first domino $-b$ times and the second a times. This gives a match.

Problem 5

Let M_1 be a Turing machine such that

$L(M_1) = \emptyset$. If $M_1 \in P$, $\exists M_2 \notin P$.

If $M_1 \notin P$, $\exists M_2 \in P$. So M_1 and M_2 have different membership in P .

Now given M and w , construct:

$D =$ "on input x :

1. Run M on w
2. If M accepts w , Run M_2 on x
3. otherwise, reject x ."

M accepts $w \iff L(D) = L(M_2)$

M does not accept $w \iff L(D) = L(M_1) = \emptyset$

where $L(M_2) \neq L(M_1)$

Testing membership for D in P can decide whether M accepts w . So P is undecidable.